Uniform Topology-Based Structure Descriptor Combined with Substructure Coding for Estimating Partition Coefficients of Organic Compounds

Martin Junghans, Ernö Pretsch

Department of Organic Chemistry, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), CH-8092 Zürich

Various structure descriptors of uniform length, based on path-counting of a node-colored molecular graph [1] or on interatomic distances in 3D structures, as well as substructure coding have been investigated in view of predicting partition coefficients, Kow. The dimensions of the structure vectors generated in a first step have been reduced by PCA or PLS. A set of 245 molecules (from CH₃NH₂ to C₁₈H₁₂) with experimental log K_{ow} values were used for model building. With the best linear model, log K_{ow} was estimated with an RMS error of 0.153 and a maximal error of 0.67. Surprisingly, only minor improvements were achieved by including 3D information. A feed-forward back-propagation artificial neural network optimized for the above problem with 19 input and 20 hidden nodes and 1 output node was somewhat less powerful.

Cross validation using 123 randomly selected molecules as training set and the remaining 122 molecules as test set allowed predictions with an RMS error of 0.397 and a maximal error of 1.34 in log Kow for the best linear model.

[1] J.-T. Clerc and A. L. Terkovics, Anal. Chem. Acta 253 (1990) 93-102

[2] J. Sadowski and J. Gasteiger, Chem. Rev. 93, (1993) 2567-2581



Correlation Using Multiple Linear Regression (MLR)

The principal components leading to the best fit were selected. An F test with a 95% statistical reliability was used as a criterion to avoid overestimation of the linear model.

PCA vs. PLS

For the data set investigated, PLS proved to be the method of choice because RMS and maximal errors for correlated and predicted values were about halved as compared with PCA. Moreover, computation was as effective as for PCA.





2D vs. 3D Description

The use of 3D information to calculate the structure descriptors yield slight improvements. This can be explained as follows: The data set contain any senses (2D description is unable to distinguish between and the 3D information was not used to calculate additional valu volumes or surface properties of the molecules.

The data set was split randomly into a training set of 123 and a test set of 122 molecules. For estimation purposes, the training set was treated exactly the same as the



Prediction Using an Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

Different topologies of a feed-forward backgroppagation ANN were tested. The best results were found with a 19-20-1 network, the input neurons using nomponents of the substructure coding structure descriptors and ten principal with the same training set as for M.R. 32 000 training cycles were found to give the best prediction results for the test set.

ANN vs. MLR

The maximal errors for predicting partition coefficients were about the same with ANN as with MLR, whereas RMS errors were ca. 20% smaller with MLR. When comparing the effects of 2D vs. 3D and of PCA vs. PLS, they were almost the same for both ANN and MLR. The main disadvantage of ANN lay not so much in the training time (the network topology being very small) but in finding a good network topology, which was very time-consuming.

Examination of the Regression Plots

Latimitation of the regression between experimental and calculated values for correlation and prediction with MLR. Obviously, the deviation is bigger for prediction but the distribution is symmetrical and no cultiers are found in either case. Three of the five molecules with worst predictions are nitrobenzenes. While correlations for 1-chloro- and 3-hydroxynitrobenzene with the experimental values are poor, that for p-dimitrobenzene is found to be good. The poor prediction value for the latter can be explained by it being the only dinitio molecule of the whole data set, hence, prediction had to be made without a corresponding molecule in the training set. The same holds for tribhore amide. For 1/4-pentadiene, both correlation and prediction are poor.

Conclusions

For predicting the partition coefficients, the description used seems to be a good possibility to represent small and medium size organic molecules

good possibility to represent small and medium size organic molecules containing heteroatoms. It was found that PLS good and the state state than PCA. For a data sea that sea found that PLS god 3D information, as mentioned above, is of only litile importance but, in general, it slightly improves the result. The method does not provide information as to whether the predicted value is based on a huge set of similar reference molecules or extrapolated.

Outlook

In order to achieve more reliable predictions of partition coefficients, extrapolated values must be detected. This could be achieved by dustering similar molecules of the training set and then investigating the position of those with unknown values relative to these clusters. To this purpose, local models for each cluster would have to be developed.